1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4		2007 - 1:06 p.m.
5	Concord, New	Hampshire
6		
7	RE:	DG 07-083 Iberdrola, S.A. AND ENERGY EAST CORPORATION:
8		Joint Petition of Iberdrola, S.A. and Energy East Corporation for Approval of the
9		Indirect Acquisition of New Hampshire Gas Corporation by Way of the Acquisition of Energy East Corporation by Iberdrola, S.A.
10		(Prehearing conference)
11		
12	DDECEME.	Donald M. Kuoin, Garanal Garanal
13	PRESENT:	Donald M. Kreis, General Counsel (Presiding as Hearings Examiner)
14		Sandy Deno, Clerk
15	APPEARANCES:	
16		Energy East Corporation: Scott J. Mueller, Esq. (LeBoeuf, Lamb)
17		Reptg. Iberdrola, S.A.:
18		James M. Avery, Esq. (Brown, Rudnick) Paul G. Afonso, Esq. (Brown, Rudnick)
19		Reptg. PUC Staff:
20		Edward N. Damon, Esq.
21		
22		
23		
24	Coı	urt Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, CCR

4	INDEX		
3		PAGE	NO
4	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:		
5	Mr. Mueller	5	
6	Mr. Damon	6	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	{DG 07-083} [PHC] (09-06-07)		
			3
1	PROCEEDINGS		

MR. KREIS: Good afternoon, everybody.

- 3 Please have a seat. We are here today for the
- 4 Commission's prehearing conference in its Docket Number DG
- 5 07-083. My name is Donald Kreis. I'm the General Counsel
- 6 of the Commission. And, the Commission has asked me,
- 7 pursuant to RSA 363:17, to conduct today's prehearing
- 8 conference, and thereafter make findings and
- 9 recommendations to the Commission as necessary.
- 10 The Commission opened this docket to
- 11 consider a petition filed on August 2nd of 2007 by
- 12 Iberdrola, S.A. -- am I pronouncing the name of that
- company correctly? Good. -- Iberdrola, S.A., Energy East
- 14 Corporation and New Hampshire Gas Corporation. This
- 15 Petition seeks the Commission's approval pursuant to RSA
- 16 369:8 and RSA 374:33 of a merger transaction that would
- 17 result in New Hampshire Gas Corporation becoming a wholly
- owned but indirect subsidiary of Iberdrola.
- 19 With that, let's start with appearances.
- MS. MUELLER: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
- 21 Examiner. On behalf of New Hampshire Gas Corporation and
- 22 Energy East Corporation, my name is Scott Mueller, of
- 23 LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, Boston, Massachusetts.
- MR. AVERY: Good afternoon. On behalf $\{DG\ 07-083\}\ [PHC]\ (09-06-07)$

- of Iberdrola, SA, James Avery, of Brown, Rudnick, Berlack,
- 2 Isreals, Boston, Massachusetts.
- 3 MR. AFONSO: Good afternoon, Mr. Hearing
- 4 Examiner. For Iberdrola, SA, Paul G. Afonso, with Brown,

- 5 Rudnick, Berlack, Isreals, in Boston, Massachusetts.
- 6 MR. DAMON: Good afternoon. My name is
- 7 Ed Damon, and I'm a Staff attorney representing the Staff
- 8 today. And, with me is Steve Frink and Robert Wyatt.
- 9 MR. KREIS: Good afternoon, everybody.
- 10 Merely the Petitioners and Staff. Let me start by
- 11 verifying that the Commission's order of notice was duly
- 12 published in some newspaper of general circulation in
- 13 greater metropolitan Keene, which is the service territory
- of New Hampshire Gas?
- 15 MS. MUELLER: Yes, it was. And, I have
- 16 return and proof of service to submit.
- 17 MR. KREIS: Great. You can hand that to
- 18 the Clerk.
- MS. MUELLER: Sure.
- MR. KREIS: And, let me also note the
- absence of any intervenors. And, so, we'll proceed on the
- assumption that, in this case, the participants will be
- 23 limited to the Petitioners and Staff.
- Now, it is next in order to take

- 1 preliminary positions of the parties. And, I would invite
- 2 the Petitioners to do that, subject to the understanding
- 3 that I've read your petition. And, so, to the extent you
- 4 want to offer up a florid recapitulation of your petition,
- 5 you may, but it's not necessary.

6	MS. MUELLER: Thank you, Mr. Hearing			
7	Examiner. Just let me make a short statement. The			
8	Petitioners are requesting, in the first instance, that			
9	the Commission authorize the proposed merger between			
10	Energy East and Iberdrola by independently verifying the			
11	Petitioners' assertion that the transaction will have no			
12	adverse effect on the rates, terms, service or operations			
13	of New Hampshire Gas Corporation, pursuant to RSA 369:8.			
14	We believe it's appropriate for review under this			
15	transaction is appropriate for review under the expedited			
16	procedures of RSA 369:8, II, because the merger involves a			
17	parent company transaction that will not change the rate,			
18	terms, or operations of New Hampshire Gas Corporation,			
19	because the Petitioners have committed that they will not			
20	seek recovery in rates if New Hampshire Gas of the			
21	acquisition premium or transaction costs, and because the			
22	Petitioners have pledged no change in rates, terms or			
23	services for customers here in New Hampshire.			
24	Alternatively, the Petitioners are			
	{DG 07-083} [PHC] (09-06-07)			

seeking approval of the transaction by the Commission
under RSA 374:33, because the transaction is consistent
with the public interest, lawful and proper, and will not
result in a net harm to customers in New Hampshire.

I would note that we have had some
preliminary discussions with Staff on a procedural

7 schedule. We have exchanged drafts, and intend to

- 8 continue those discussions after this prehearing
- 9 conference and report back to the Commission following the
- 10 technical session.
- MR. KREIS: Super. Let's here from
- 12 Staff, and then maybe we can have some discussion.
- 13 MR. DAMON: Thank you. The Commission's
- 14 long-standing policy is to independently verify assertions
- of "no net harm" in merger petitions. Staff expects to
- 16 conduct a thorough review of the assertions made in the
- 17 Petition and accompanying testimony, although Staff's
- 18 positions on the merits are not fully developed at this
- 19 time.
- 20 The Petition states that New Hampshire
- 21 Gas's customers will experience no adverse effect, because
- it there will be no recovery of acquisition premium, no
- 23 recovery of transaction costs in rates, and no change of
- 24 services to customers. Such steps, provided they are

- 1 independently examined and verified, would help to ensure
- that customers will not be impacted by the merger.
- Nonetheless, it should be kept in mind
- 4 that customers could be harmed from changes to existing
- 5 programs, such as the plan of action filed with the Staff
- and implemented in 2006 to address unaccounted for gas.
- 7 The Company has seen a noticeable decrease in unaccounted
- 8 for gas as a result of the positive steps already taken,

- and further improvements are expected. If the program

 were to be slowed or terminated following the merger, the

 system reliability and safety could potentially be

 compromised.
- 13 The Petition further states that the 14 merger will provide positive benefits to New Hampshire, 15 such as a significant focus on clean technology and environmental issues, as well as a commitment to 16 17 excellence in customers service and reliability. While an 18 increased focus on environmental issues and improved service and reliability is, of course, to be welcomed. As 19 20 to whether there are positive benefits will depend on the 2.1 concrete steps the Company takes to address those issues, particularly in light of the Company's fairly limited 22 23 customer base.
- Now, as a preliminary matter, Staff $\left\{ \text{DG } 07\text{-}083 \right\} \quad \text{[PHC]} \quad (09\text{-}06\text{-}07)$

- 1 believes that the Petition itself falls short of
- 2 unequivocally demonstrating on its face that the proposed
- 3 merger will not adversely effect rates, terms or service
- 4 by the Company. Accordingly, Staff believes that further
- 5 proceedings are appropriate pursuant to RSA 369:8, II(b).
- 6 And, in order to promote the efficient and orderly
- 7 resolution of this docket, Staff suggests that the issue
- 8 of adverse impact, within the meaning of RSA 369:8, II(b),
- 9 to be deferred pending the Commission's ultimate
- 10 resolution of all the issues in the docket.

- 11 Notwithstanding certain of the extremely tight deadlines
- 12 set forth in the statute. And, I would note that this is
- a procedure that seemed to work well in the recently
- 14 concluded merger docket involving KeySpan and National
- 15 Grid.
- 16 Certainly, Staff is sensitive to the
- 17 Petitioners' need for a timely resolution of this docket,
- 18 and will work with them to develop a suitable procedural
- schedule, consistent with the need to comply with the
- 20 obligation to carefully review the assertions made in the
- 21 Petition.
- 22 MR. KREIS: Thank you, Mr. Damon. You
- 23 could help me, since I am not as steeped in the lore of
- gas utilities as I perhaps ought to be. When you say

- "unaccounted for gas", presumably you're referring to gas
- that was pumped into the system, but then wasn't metered
- 3 at customer locations?
- 4 MR. DAMON: Yes. Yes.
- 5 MR. KREIS: So that there's a concern
- 6 that maybe that gas is leaking into the environment
- 7 somewhere or something like that?
- 8 MR. DAMON: Yes. The Company, in the
- 9 last several years, has reported percentages of
- 10 unaccounted gas that the Staff deemed to be high by
- 11 standards that we would consider fully appropriate, and

- have brought that to the Company's attention, and the

 Company has been quite proactive in working with the Staff

 on devising a plan to address that problem.

 MR. KREIS: That's good. Okay. Based
- on what I've heard, the big issue in this case is the

 schedule, because the Company filed its Petition on

 August 2nd. And, if I understand the Petition correctly,
- it has invoked the statutory timeline in RSA 369:8. And,
- 20 the way that timeline works is that there's sort of a
- 21 deemed approval mechanism, whereby the merger becomes
- 22 automatically approved 60 days after August 2nd, unless
- 23 the Commission acts to subject this case to further
- 24 proceedings. That really would involve scrutinizing the $\{DG\ 07-083\}\ [PHC]\ (09-06-07)$

10

assertions of "no adverse impacts" in the Petition. And,

2 so, I guess I'm turning to the Petitioners to ask what

3 their position is about how -- their position about the

timeline that the Commission ought to use in this case and

5 the applicability of that 60 day deadline.

6 MS. MUELLER: Well, first of all, let me

7 note that the Staff and Petitioners have already engaged

8 in conversations regarding a procedural schedule that

9 would have the Commission reviewing this transaction and

10 issuing an order. The Company was looking for five

11 months, I think we're talking -- Staff's proposing

12 something in the nature of six months. I'm having a high

13 level of confidence that we will be able to work out a

```
schedule, and thus to agree with Staff, in their
recommendations, the Commission defer the issue of an
```

- adverse finding pending a full review by the Commission.
- 17 MR. KREIS: I agree with Mr. Damon that
- 18 that approach to these kinds of cases has tended to work
- out in the past. But it does require the Petitioners, I
- 20 think, to affirmatively waive certain rights that they
- 21 might otherwise enjoy under that statute. I just want to
- 22 make sure you understand.
- MS. MUELLER: We understand that. And,
- our plan would be to report back to the Commission

- 1 following the technical session, after this prehearing
- 2 conference.
- 3 MR. KREIS: Okay. Do you need any
- 4 active involvement from me or assistance in doing that or
- 5 are you all fairly confident that you can reach an
- 6 amicable agreement about how to proceed in as timely a
- 7 fashion as we can?
- 8 MS. MUELLER: I would suggest that we go
- 9 it on our own. If we need your assistance, we will let
- 10 you know.
- 11 MR. KREIS: Okay. I'm willing to handle
- 12 it that way. Is there anything else that anybody needs to
- raise on the record in today's prehearing conference?
- 14 MR. AVERY: Iberdrola has no additional

```
15
       matters.
                         MR. KREIS: Anything from Staff?
16
                         MR. DAMON: Nothing. Thank you.
17
                         MR. KREIS: Well, then, we can declare
18
       this a highly uneventful prehearing conference, and
19
20
       conclude our proceedings and invite the party -- invite
21
       the Petitioners and Staff to confer on a procedural
22
       schedule that you all are comfortable with. And, we'll
23
       await a written recommendation with respect to that issue.
24
                         MS. MUELLER: Thank you.
                   {DG 07-083} [PHC] (09-06-07)
                                                           12
 1
                         MR. KREIS: Thank you.
                         (Whereupon the prehearing conference
 2
 3
                         ended at 1:18 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
```

{DG 07-083} [PHC] (09-06-07)